IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL
AT NAINITAL
Criminal Misc. Application No. 335 of 2004

1. Yash Raj Dhingra
   S/o Sri Yog Raj Dhingra
   R/o A-8 Double Storey
   Kalkji, New Delhi
2. Smt. Saroj W/o A-8 Double Storey
   Kalkaji, New Delhi
3. Anil Dhingra S/o Sri Yog Raj Dhingra
   R/o B-226 D.D.A Flats Kalkaji
   New Delhi … Applicants

Versus

1. State of Uttaranchal
2. Smt. Neera Dhingra
   W/o Sri Yash Raj Dhingra
   D/o Sri Jitendra Singh Maalick
   R/o 548 West Ambar Talab
   Old Railway Road
   P.S. Gang Nahar, Roorki
   District Haridwar
   Uttaranchal … Respondents

Sri A. Acharjee and Sri Hari Mohan Bhatia, learned counsel for the applicants/petitioners.
Sri Manish Arora, learned counsel for the respondent no. 2

Hon'ble B.C. Kandpal, J

It has been stated by the learned counsel for the parties that both the parties have arrived to the mutual agreement for divorce and the amount of permanent alimony has been settled between the parties. Today, the comprise deed has also been filed along with the Criminal Misc. Application No. 875 of 2004.

The parties have also filed the copy of the judgment dated 24.07.2005 passed by the Additional Family Judge, Roorkee which shows that the decree for divorce has also been passed.

Another judgment dated 18.07.2005 has also been filed which shows that the suit filed by Smt. Neera Dhingra – respondent No. 2 has also been allowed on the ground of compromise deed between the parties.

In view of the submission advanced by the learned counsel for the parties, I find sufficient ground to allow the petitioner under Section 482 Cr.P.C. As the compromise has already been taken place between the parties, therefore, the proceeding in criminal case No. 397 of 2004 pending before the court of Judicial Magistrate, Roorkee under Sections 498-A, 325, 504, 506 read with Section ¾ Dowry Prohibition Act are hereby quashed.

The petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is disposed of in view of the compromise deed filed by the parties before this Court.

(B.C. Kandpal, J)

17.09.2005

ASWAL